| | Date of Report: 14 November 1972 | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|
| РНОТО СОМР. | ARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS: | | |
| | J) Summary of request: (Date received:) Please compare the attached 2 pre-capture photographs of Maj. Edgar F. Davis with the post-capture photographs DI-365-5-72 #82 | | |
| b. | The exact images to be compared have been identified as follows: | | |
| 2. (U |) Summary of comparison performed: The following photographs were compared: pre-capture; post-capture | | |

3. Results of analysis:

(U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.

technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed

- b. (U) Quality of post-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis recognizable features.
- (C) The following features were considered c. similar:

| (1) | | _ |
|-----|--|---|
| | | |

(2)

APPROVED FOR RELEASE Date 20 OC 1 18

b.

below.

-1-

Porte Whitehold & Second 27 Mar 78 Charles her sel

| | (3) |
|---------------|---|
| | |
| | (4) |
| | (5) |
| | (6) |
| | (7) |
| | (8) |
| 1 | (9) |
| d. () | The following features were considered dis- similar: |
| (| (1) |
| (| (2) |
| (| [3] |
| (| (4) |
| • (| 5) |
| e. 🥘 | Conclusion: |
| (| In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, could be the subject of the questioned photographs. |
| (| 2) In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs. |
| (1) | In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached. |
| f. (U) The ca | ne same image has been compared with pre- apture photographs of Air Force, Navy, Marine, Army, ad civilian personnel. |



g. Comments: Experience has shown that there are not enough distinguishable features in unidentified photo #82 to permit comparison, even with a photo taken in nearly the same pose.

WARNING: This photo comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques; however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding factors concerning the individual's case which could confirm or invalidate the photo comparison analysis.

Attachments:

(a) Post-capture photographs, with overlay or other exact identification of image to be compared:

Pre-capture photographs: (b)